

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

ſ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/628,787	07/28/2003	Slobodan Dan Dimitrijevich	UNTF:1003RCE	5209
	34725 CHALKER FL	7590 03/19/200 ORES, LLP	7	EXAMINER	
	2711 LBJ FRW			GHALI, ISIS A D	
	Suite 1036 DALLAS, TX 75234			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1615	
_					
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE 3 MONTHS		Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	
		NTHS	03/19/2007		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/628,787	DIMITRIJEVICH, SLOBODAN DAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Isis A. Ghali	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 January 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/24/2007.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

The receipt is acknowledged of applicants' IDS filed 01/24/2007, request for RCE filed 01/11/2007, and amendment filed 12/18/2006.

Claims 7-40 have been canceled.

Claims 1-6 are pending and included in the prosecution.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/11/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1615

- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amendment made to the claims to recite that the collagen "is not heat-denatured or dried" has introduced new matter that is not supported by the specification as originally filed. Nowhere applicant has disclosed such a limitation. In accordance to MPEP 714.02, applicant should specifically point out to where in the disclosure a support for any amendment made to the claims can be found.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2 and 3 failed to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Claim 1 limited the collagen into collagen type I and collagen type III, while dependent claims 2 and 3 have broadened the scope of claim 1 to include collagen type I and other components other than collagen type III, and it is not clear to the examiner if these components are present along with collagen type I and type III, or replacing collagen type III.

Art Unit: 1615

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,596,304 ('304) in view of US 5,899,936 ('936).

US '304 teaches collagen-based material to prevent post surgical adhesion comprising mixture of collagen I and III, and fibroblasts (abstract; col.4, lines 23-26; col.6, lines 3-7; col.8, lines 64-65; col.9, lines 18, 23-27; col.10, lines 6-7). The collagen used is acid treated and processed, i.e. cellular (col.4, lines 27-28).

Although US '304 teaches enzymatic treatment or heat treatment of collagen that will produce a cellular collagen, however, the reference does not explicitly teach the collagen is not heat denatured.

US '936 teaches implantable matrices made of collagen that is decellularized and treated to kill the native cells to produce no adverse immune response and to exhibit limited propensity to calcify and little stimulation of thromboembolism (abstract; col.2, lines 45-50).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide film comprising collagen and fibroblast to prevent

Art Unit: 1615

post surgical adhesion as disclosed by US '304, and replace collagen by decellularized collagen as disclosed by US '936, motivated by the teaching of US '936 that decellularized collagen produces no adverse immune response and exhibits limited propensity to calcify and little stimulation of thromboembolism, with reasonable expectation of having film comprising decellularized collagen and fibroblast that promote wound healing without adhesion between the healing wound the adjacent tissues without providing any adverse immune response, calcification or thromboembolism.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isis A. Ghali whose telephone number is (571) 272-0595. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 1615

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Isis A Ghali Primary Examiner Art Unit 1615

2mgml

IG